

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/989,007	11/21/2001	Judith C. Clark	4812.100	9546	
28410 75	590 05/30/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
BERENATO, WHITE & STAVISH, LLC			SPERTY, A	SPERTY, ARDEN B	
6550 ROCK SPRING DRIVE SUITE 240		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
BETHESDA, MD 20817			1771		
			DATE MAILED: 05/30/200	6	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				ŧ
	· .	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
		09/989,007	CLARK, JUDITH C.	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Arden B. Sperty	1771	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with t	he correspondence address	
WHIC - Externafter - If NC - Failu Any (ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It is period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we reto reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICA- 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS cause the application to become ABANI	TION. be timely filed from the mailing date of this communication. DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
2a)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 Ma This action is FINAL . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. ace except for formal matters		
Disnositi	ion of Claims		.,	
4)⊠ 5)□ 6)□ 7)□ 8)□ Applicati	Claim(s) 89 and 91-122 is/are pending in the ap 4a) Of the above claim(s) 101-118 and 122 is/a Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 89, 91-100, 119-121 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or ion Papers The specification is objected to by the Examiner The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the content of the conte	re withdrawn from considerare election requirement. c. epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance.	the Examiner. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 1.			
	under 35 U.S.C. § 119	ammer. Note the attached O	lice Action of form PTO-152.	
12) a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priorical application from the International Bureause the attached detailed Office action for a list of	have been received. have been received in Applity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ication No ceived in this National Stage	
2) 🔲 Notice 3) 🔲 Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/M	mary (PTO-413) ail Date nal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 09/989,007 Page 2

Art Unit: 1771

FINAL OFFICE ACTION

1. Applicant's comments and amendments, filed 3/16/06, have been entered and carefully considered.

Election/Restrictions

- 2. Newly submitted claims 101-107 are directed to an invention that is independent or distinct from the invention originally claimed for the following reasons: Claims 101-107 are drawn to a coupling apparatus comprising a barrette, a backer, and a fastener. The invention is unrelated to the examined invention, because the inventions do not share the same particulars. The coupling apparatus of the elected and examined invention includes a tying element having first and second ends. Claims 101-107 require no such tying element, and are therefore drawn to an **independent or distinct invention**.
- 3. Newly submitted claims 108-118 are directed to an invention that is an independent or distinct species from the invention originally claimed for the following reasons: Claims 108-118 are drawn to an invention which is a **different species** than the examined invention. The coupling apparatus of the elected and examined invention includes a tying element having first and second ends, while claims 108-118 require an elastic band.
- 4. Claim 122 is directed to an invention that is an independent or distinct species from the invention originally claimed for the following reasons: Adhesive strip fasteners are a **distinct species** from the hook and loop fastener embodiment currently

Application/Control Number: 09/989,007 Page 3

Art Unit: 1771

examined. Interlocking fasteners and adhesive strips are listed as alternative coupling mechanisms in claim 119. According to MPEP 803.02, a Markush-type claim may include independent and distinct inventions. This is true where two or more of the members are so unrelated and diverse that a prior art reference anticipating the claim with respect to one of the members would not render the claim obvious under 35 U.S.C.103 with respect to the other member(s). Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

- 5. Accordingly, claims 101-118 and 122 are withdrawn from consideration as being directed to inventions non-elected by original presentation. See 37 CFR 1.142(b) and MPEP § 821.03. Since applicant has received an action on the merits for the originally presented invention, this invention has been constructively elected by original presentation for prosecution on the merits.
- 6. It is further noted that new claim 119 is broader than claim 89, because 119 does not specifically require hook and loop fastener.
- 7. The currently examined claims are: 89, 91-100, 119-121.

Application/Control Number: 09/989,007

Art Unit: 1771

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

8. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

9. Claim 99 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The claim appears to be redundant. It is not clear what is intended beyond that which is required in claim 89.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

10. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 11. Claims 89, 91-100, 119-121 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by US Patent 5676250 to Walters.
- 12. The Walters reference teaches, and shows in Figures 5 and 6, a substantially planar semi-rigid backer 16 having openings through which the tieing element 40 is inserted. The ornamental item, which is the light string 12, is secured by the tieing element. The opposite side of the backing element 16 is provided with hook or loop fastener material having a first surface abutting the backing element 16, and a second surface to be removeably engaged to a mating portion of hook or loop fastener. The

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 09/989,007

Art Unit: 1771

hook or loop fastener attached to the light string may be removeably attached to a mating piece of hook and loop fastener 38. The mating piece of hook and loop fastener has a first surface abutting the object to which the light string is to be attached, such as along a roof line for outdoor decoration (see Figure 5) or to a cylinder for storage (see Figure 1), and a second engageable surface that engages the second surface of the first fastener. Thus, the structural limitations of claims 89, 93, 95, 99, and 119-121 are met.

Page 5

- 13. Regarding claim 91 and 92, the examiner takes official notice that a variety of Velcro constructions are well-known in the art, including "plastic" backing materials such as polymer fabrics, and that it would have been obvious to determine and use a thickness to provide adequate support for the hook and loop elements. Absent a showing of unexpected results with the claimed materials and thickness, Applicant does not appear to have claimed anything beyond what is known in the fields of material and textile engineering.
- 14. Regarding claim 94, the ultimately intended ornamental item does not effect the structure of the fastener itself. Therefore, although the reference teaches attachment of a light string, as opposed to the items listed in claim 94, the structural limitations are met and would not be any different if any of the items of claim 94 were used instead of a light string. Thus it can be seen that the ultimate intended uses of claim 94 do not provide patentable distinction to the attachment mechanism.
- 15. Regarding claims 96, 97, 98, and 100 it has been held that altering shapes and sizes requires only routine skill in the art, and does not provide patentable distinction

Art Unit: 1771

absent a showing that the modification actually changes the way the item functions. In the present situation, changing the shapes of the holes through the backer does not change the way the backer functions, therefore no patentable distinction is provided.

Response to Arguments

16. Applicant's arguments filed 3/16/06 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues that the structure alleged by the examiner to be analogous to the backer does not actually meet the claim limitations. Applicant's argument is that the backer and the hook or loop portion of the hook/loop fastener are not separate elements, as claimed. The argument is not commensurate with the claims; separate elements are not required. A variety of Velcro constructions are well-known in the art, including "plastic" backing materials such as polymer fabrics, and that it would have been obvious to determine and use a thickness to provide adequate support for the hook and loop elements.

Conclusion

- 17. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).
- 18. A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Arden B. Sperty whose telephone number is (571)272-1543. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th, 08:00-16:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Terrel Morris can be reached on (571)272-1478. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Arden B. Sperty

Examiner

Art Unit 1771